

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/6/2021 4:00:00 AM

First name: Eric

Last name: Boatti

Organization: Chattooga Conservancy, Inc.

Title: Program Associate

Comments: Our collective membership also includes citizens whose

private lands would be directly impacted by the Regional Slow the Spread Project.

Ecological & Health Concerns:

We understand the scope of damage caused by *L. dispar* to our native hardwood forests, and agree with the need to slow their spread. However, we hold concerns over some of the treatment options proposed for this project.

Primarily, we hold significant skepticism over the collateral damage that could result from Treatment Options 2 and 2b, which include the aerial spraying of harmful pesticides that are not at all target-species specific. The proposed widespread use of either Foray 48b or 76b, proprietary blends of *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki* (Btk,) will, by nature, disrupt and devastate populations of native and beneficial Lepidoptera. As per the product label for Foray 48b: "This product must not be applied aerially within 1/4 mile of any habitats of threatened or endangered Lepidoptera." The proposed scoping notice is entirely devoid of any plan to scout, identify, and delineate any spray areas that could be hosts to threatened or endangered Lepidoptera. We are unconvinced that the eradication of the *L. dispar* through widespread aerial spraying at the expense of other Lepidoptera is neither ethical, ecologically sound, nor wholly necessary.

1

Furthermore, the language used in your Scoping Notice to assuage public concern over the health & safety of the inert ingredients in these Btk mixes is underwhelming, to say the least. An astounding 87.35% of Foray 48b ingredients, and 81.56% of Foray 76b ingredients, are kept secret as proprietary information by their manufacturer, Valent BioSciences. Labelling these ingredients as "typically food-safe", and promoting that they appear on a "Generally

Recognized as Safe" FDA list, does not promote public confidence.

Procedural Concerns:

While technically legal under NEPA guidelines, we are disappointed that the Forest Service is planning to not allow an additional comment period when they release a final Environmental Assessment (EA) in 2022. To opt to simultaneously release a Findings of No Significant Impact (FONSI) with an EA, without first receiving public comments on said EA, is a deviation from an established norm on public participation, and one we hope the Forest Service chooses to review.

Summary:

Of all proposed treatment options, we strongly advocate for the use of Mating Disruption via Splat-GM Organic wherever and whenever possible. As large outbreaks of L.Dispar occur sparingly (every 8-10 years), we believe this species-specific, low-impact treatment option to be ideal for both ecosystem health and L.Dispar control. However, whenever L.dispar population densities are categorically deemed too high for solely utilizing Mating Disruption, we suggest the application of Treatment Option 3b (Gypchek Use & Mating Disruption). As a general rule, we are vehemently against the use of widespread, non-species specific pesticide application, and urge the Forest Service to consider Treatment Options that pose the least amount of risk to other Lepidoptera species.

We appreciate the opportunity to submit our comments and concerns about the Regional Slow the Spread project, and look forward to hearing your response.