

December 15, 2020

Ochoco Wild Horse Management Plan Response
2 Response

Hi, my name is Frank Porfily and I have been involved with the Ochoco Wild Horse Herd for the past 5 years. My deceased wife even adopted one several years ago.

Growing up as a rancher and viewing the forage available, in no way do they suffer from lack of forage with the existing 135 head of horses, which the numbers has been substantially verified.

The whole process is being inspired by a rich, out of county sheep owner, that wants to cut the horse herd back for his economic benefit and he has the support of the Ochoco National Forest Management. In fact, he thanked them for their solicitation of his comments in NEPA process along with one other objector, saying thank you for their solicitation.

In the NEPA process there were 126 responses. GET THIS, 12 were for the decision of Shane Jeffries to cut the herd down to a minimum of 12 head of horses and 114 were for leaving the numbers as they are at 135 head.

Furthermore, the 114 responses were supported by 11,381 presented signatures of people and the 12 that Shane used for his decision was backed by 12 presented signatures.

My question is, does this decision to cut the herd back to a minimum of 12 head

FOLLOW THE REASON FOR THE NEPA PROCESS
OR MAY THERE BY AN EXTERNAL INFLUENCE
BEHIND THE SCENE THAT WE ARE NOT SEEING.

THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY FOR THIS
RESPONSE AND I HOPE WHO IS RESPONSIBLE
FOR CHECKING THIS PROCESS GETS TO THE BOTTOM
OF THIS LOPSIDED, UNREASONABLE DECISION.

Frank Porfily
Frank Porfily

12/15/2020