Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/30/2020 6:00:00 AM
First name: David
Last name: Sheets
Organization:
Title:
Comments: I object to the Helena Lewis and Clark national forest plan for the following reasons:

I formally object to the HLCNF stating in the new forest plan and implementing an action of removing all motorized and mechanized use int eh areas they designate as recommended wilderness areas.

Statement of issues and or part of the plan revision to which the objection applies: the statement or motorized and mechanized use d not suitable in areas designated as recommended wilderness is mentioned in numerous places int eh 1000s of pages of the document.

Concise statement explaining the objection and suggesting how the propose plan should be improved:

The reasons for my objection are:

The previous guidance initiated by regional forested Thomas Tidwell of removing motorized and mechanized use in areas of recommended wilderness was superseded in a letter dated April 23, 2013 by region 1 regional forester Leanne Marten. This was confirmed by a letter form chief christansen to Idaho governor risch, senator carpo, congressman simpson and congressman fulcheer on August 6, 2019.

Proposed Solution:

The language removing motorize and mechanized use in areas of recommended wilderness in the HLCNF plan must be removed and there used must be allowed to continue.

Statement demonstrating the link between objection and prior comment:

The issue is new to the process as the suspension of this guidance was made after all formal commenting periods have ended. The HLCNF fail to include new information and guidance on the forest plan.