Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/14/2016 8:00:20 PM
First name: Jeffrey
Last name: Stone
Organization:
Title:
Comments: I appreciate the fact that the Forest Service is proposing to treat legacy road sediment sources within the Craggy Project Area. However, I believe that you have not gone far enough and that you should take this opportunity to do more.

It appears that you have chosen not to perform a travel management analysis within the project area. I believe that a travel management analysis should be done now because this area is close to a population center and experiences significant effects from uncontrolled vehicle use. If not now, when?

At the very least, I hope action will be taken to close and obliterate non-MVUM roads within the project area.

There are several county roads within the project area. Some of these roads are poorly maintained and are sediment sources that affect NFS lands, though none of them are proposed for treatment. I believe a cooperative effort with the county should be undertaken to identify and treat specific sediment sources along these roads, particularly roads that will be used to access project treatment areas.

Road 45N33, an ML 1 road, crosses private land for a short distance between Greenhorn Road and NFS lands. The property owner has blocked this road at the property boundary by building a barbed wire fence across it (with no gate). There is a Forest Service gate on this road (in a different location on private land) that is now not serving any purpose and should be considered for removal. If the Forest Service has no easement through this private land, 45N33 is no longer available for use and should be considered for removal from the road system and decommissioning.