Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/21/2015 5:08:50 AM
First name: Stan
Last name: Averett
Organization:
Title: Mr
Comments: First and foremost, it is stated, and should be clear and understood that, I do not agree, approve, or in any other way suggest that the Poeville alignment is a viable, recommended, suggested, inferred, or otherwise accepted alternative for the currently considered connection of the Cold Springs substation to the Verdi substation. This project is not in the interest of neighboring property owners, be they any property owner ranging from individual residential property owner to undeveloped property owner. With the previous statement in understanding, it is with much trepidation to suggest that the referred alignment outlined in the draft document could be altered to utilize the existing Poeville Alternative alignment from the Bordertown Substation to the Northern border of parcel 081-150-01 where it meets Peavine Peak Road 41641. Then the alignment might follow the alignment of the Peavine Peak Road to the west to the south-west corner of parcel 081-060-28. Then the alignment may continue in a south-west bearing and connect to the alignment of the 41132 NFS designated road until the northern border of parcel 081-170-09. The alignment could follow the northern border of 081-170-09 and then turn south and follow the western boundary of parcel 038-010-05. I bought this property because, at the time, it was not encumbered by governmental morass. Why now do I need to suggest this? Why aren't all of the resources of the federal government suggesting these seemingly easy alternatives to alley private property ownership concerns? I DON'T WANT THIS ON MY PROPERTY. Why does the burdensome hand of government force me to defend myself? This project is suggested as a benefit for the public and yet the majority of the burden is being placed on ME and neighboring property owners. Why?