Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/21/2026 11:01:47 PM
First name: Darrell
Last name: Crandall
Organization:
Title:
Comments: What POSSIBLE REASON could there be to poison rodents on National Grassland? Wild land, right? So, what POSSIBLE REASON COULD THERE BE for three politically Trump-aligned red states to make an objectionable decision to poison wild animals on wild, public land? Unless... unless, that "National" grassland is going to be farmed out to the highest bidders. Whether it be farmers, ranchers, or far worse, developers who are to be the recipients of this lucrative scheme, I WHOLEHEARTEDLY OBJECT TO THESE PROPOSED CHANGES TO "National Grassland" policies. There is NOTHING Doug Bergum considers sacred enough to preserve, including what is currently PUBLIC LAND, not private, not owned by SPECULATORS. Trump, Bergum, et al in this administration have repeatedly pronounced public land the perfect place to log, mine, drill, AND BUILD. My strongest suspicion is that killing rodents, eterminating them, is to facilitate making this land more desirable for a host of activities that will relinquish public land to private buyers. I UNEQUIVOCALLY OPPOSE THIS LEGISLATION, THESE CHANGES, THIS NOTION THAT PUBLIC LAND IS FOR THE "RICH AS HELL" FRIENDS of government officials.