

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/16/2026 6:03:47 PM

First name: Cait

Last name: B

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The question I keep coming back to is whether there will be a country left to be accountable for when, one day, we hold you monsters responsible for the destruction you've wrought. I hike, camp, fish, paddle, climb, and ride in places like the Cibola National Forest. I take pictures out there. I work in this economy. I care about clean water. What happens to these lands is not abstract to me, and this proposal deserves far more scrutiny than the public record currently allows.

Start with the schedule. The project summary lists an Environmental Impact Statement as the expected analysis type, but the Project Milestones section shows only "Milestone Date NEPA Start Date Finding/Decision Date" with nothing filled in beside them. No scoping start. No draft release window. No decision date. The public cannot plan participation around blank fields. Tribal governments, neighboring communities, people like me who use these lands, we cannot respond to a ghost calendar. The agency must publish firm milestone dates and respond to this gap on the record.

Next, the scope of the analysis. The Project Overview states that "These activities will support the underground uranium mine and water treatment plant proposed on adjacent state and private lands." That sentence does more than describe a relationship between project components. It admits that the heaviest industrial activity, the mine itself and the water treatment plant, sits outside NFS jurisdiction yet is the entire reason for the NFS surface work. The notice does not identify which other federal, state, or tribal agencies will serve as cooperating agencies, and it does not explain how impacts from the mine will be folded into the EIS when that mine is not on Forest Service land. A connected action analysis that only accounts for the roads and drill pads while treating the uranium mine as someone else's problem would be incomplete. The agency must disclose the full cooperating agency list and confirm that the EIS scope covers the whole connected action.

Finally, the description of what will actually happen on the ground. The Project Overview says the proposal is from "Roca Honda Resources LLC on and near Jesus Mesa to conduct developmental drilling, develop infrastructure and construct access roads on NFS lands." That is all it says. No acreage. No disturbance footprint. No depth or volume of drilling. No description of what the infrastructure consists of. I fish and paddle and drink water downstream of decisions like this one. I cannot identify what is at risk, and I cannot write a meaningful comment, when the agency has not told the public what it is being asked to approve. A detailed project description, with real numbers and real specifics, must be released before the scoping comment period closes. Healthcare and education and clean water and intact public land are not separate concerns. They are what makes this country worth fighting for, and vague project summaries on proposals of this magnitude are not good enough.

You are all responsible for what is to come.