

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 8/14/2026 3:34:56 PM

First name: Rich

Last name: Swoboda

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I agree with the travel management plan when effectively regulated. I agree we need to reduce fire fuels with timber management within our roadless areas. Roads for timber harvest work. Closure of these roads work as long as sportsman understand and follow the rules. There is the problem. The area in Idaho I hunt has a combination of roadless, travel management, public and private lands. The problem with the gate closure is the gates can be left open, mistakenly, or locks cut. Bigger issue is that the logger groups that worked these areas have keys to these gates, or an admin buddy gives permission to go through the gate. It is upsetting when a sportsman understand the regulations, follows them, and yet other groups don't. It makes it hard to continue to follow regulations when you witness season after season this trespassing and no consequences for those groups. I complained and pointed out the intrusion location. He told me he'd solve the problem. The intrusion access point was just closed off last year, 4 years it took to do that, in the meantime the area went from a healthy herd of elk, to virtually zero. I'm hoping this year it may have changed for the better. The closure solution was deep excavated trenches, 3 in total, that a motorcycle can't make it past around let alone a vehicle. I think when new roads are planned the eventual closure solution should be determined before the road is put in. Can it effectively be shut down to keep vehicles out?