

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 8/14/2026 2:35:07 AM

First name: Alison

Last name: Mortensen-Hayes

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am against the proposed Blue Mountains Forest Plan revision, as I understand this would cause substantial, irrevocable damage to Oregon's largest protected wilderness area. We need a plan that focuses on the protection and longevity of the forest, not what we can exploit from it. We need a plan that retains the 21-inch rule (Eastside Screens) and protects mature trees, that maintains protections in riparian areas, and retains all roadless areas. And we need a plan that has strict, enforceable protections for wildlife, their habitats, and clean water, as well as overall environmental health. No increased logging, roads, and livestock grazing. The reasons used to substantiate increased logging and livestock grazing are insufficient - there are other ways to earn capital, and both logging and cattle grazing are false solutions to control wildfires

(<https://bluemountainsbiodiversityproject.org/logging-as-a-false-solution-to-wildfire-and-community-safety/>). The plan as proposed comes with serious consequences including drought, reduced water quality, increased wildfire risk, habit disruption and destruction for wildlife, increased carbon emissions (as mature trees are significant carbon storages), increased invasive species, and criminal behavior around keeping cattle on public lands beyond permitted seasons. Please do not carry out this problematic revision.