

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 8/9/2026 11:53:25 PM

First name: Liesl

Last name: Schernthanner

Organization:

Title:

Comments: To Whom it May Concern:

I appreciate the call for public input, effort put forth, project purpose, and need for the mitigation of hazard trees, wood salvage, reforestation/revegetation, management and repair of resources, but I think the current proposal will not meet that goal, particularly given only a very small area of the fire scar will be addressed.

It would seem that the primary focus is thinning areas around valuable housing and recreation areas, understandable, but salvage logging can be highly detrimental as well. I'd like to see the proliferation of noxious weeds addressed on Forest Service lands, in (and outside of) the burned area, as well as more focus on soil stability, hydrology, and wildlife habitat. I fear the small project area being addressed will do little to reduce the susceptibility of insect-related mortality that is rampant, but again, appreciate the effort to improve a few focus areas. I would hope that the Forest Service include biologists for fish and wildlife, botanists, hydrologists, heritage specialists, and other trained professionals in the project implementation areas to care for land and species effected. After all, this is not just a logging operation.

Thanks.