

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 8/4/2026 4:37:36 AM

First name: Kim

Last name: Lockett

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Over 125,000 acres burn, and this proposal (proposal isn't the correct word--sounds like it's a done deal) addresses the subsequent situation by thinning 1,100 acres. Logging, in other words. Really? What happens with the other 123,000 acres? What about rehabbing along the highway and vulnerable creeks so we won't continue to have flash floods and washouts? What about dealing with the explosion of cheat grass, knapweed, and other invasive plants along the Grandjean road? Have you seen what has happened this summer?

We've lost our forest and what is "renewing" is nothing like what was there before. It's appalling and disgraceful to pretend that the actions to be undertaken will address the recovery of our forest, its watersheds, and the ecosystems therein.

Someone (who got the logging bid?) stands to profit here. It's surely not the public, nor the forest that burned.