

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 8/2/2026 4:48:54 PM

First name: Lynda

Last name: Winslow

Organization:

Title:

Comments: It is my understanding that a public comment period should allow at least two weeks under the law, so I am curious to learn more about why this agency is only giving everyone 4 days. Is public comment creating a burden?

I object to the expansion of the parking lot and the building of roads for salvage logging unless they will later be removed and remediated for forest regrowth.

I have travelled recently to areas in the West where the forest has burned and the trees are still standing. The amount of ash on the ground and the number of people who just drive through, pull up and use the rest room, and never otherwise get out of their car is staggering. It is very difficult to hike through ashy areas, especially the once which have burned snags hanging over the trail threatening the life and safety of hikers.

I understand that leaving such a large burned stand is not a viable way to manage a public access area for recreation. I wonder if the forest service and bureau of land management cannot work together to come up with a less impactful method of removing dangerous trees from difficult terrain while offering employment to more than loggers. Can logging machinery work with trucks and horses to remove the dangerous and hazardous effects of the fire with less impact to the water, the soil, and biodiversity?

From a review of the documentation it does not seem that much effective outreach has been done to learn whether there are 'nurse' trees and other organisms which might be impacted negatively by reentering to log in this manner. I do not approve of this overall schema.

Thank you for considering my comments. I look forward to learning more about what the proposed remediation effort will look like. If you give the public more time to respond, I look forward to that also.