

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/31/2026 6:50:28 PM

First name: Kevin

Last name: Thomas

Organization:

Title:

Comments: My comments are as a former park executive manager with an MPA, a frequent user of National Park trails and campgrounds, and a taxpayer whose annual contributions represent some real portion of the funds necessary for such public works.

The Forest Service is a public agency responsible for responding to We the People. The National Forests belong to all citizens of the United States and are subject to our review for which only lack of citizenship can justify lack of consideration of our comments on how our taxes will be used and how our collective lands will be managed.

There does not seem to be any appropriate nor sufficient rationale for the statement: "This project will not be subject to the pre-decisional objection review process (36 CFR 218)." The pre-decisional review process mandated by statute should not be ignored.

The document for review says 8.5 mi of temporary roads, the summary here says 9 mi. Which is it; 8.5 or 9? Why are such "temporary roads" necessary? What scientific analysis justifies the "temporary" roads? What is the plan and is there funding to restore the impacts of those roads?

Thank you