

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/11/2026 1:42:33 PM

First name: Dave

Last name: Mertz

Organization:

Title:

Comments: This project is very extensive with a wide variety of proposed treatments. I have a number of issues that I hope you will address in the EA or EIS. Please provide a history of the timber sales within the project area with acres, going back to 2000. There has been a lot of past timber sale activity in the project area, and in the interest of full disclosure, that information should be available. I question the need for "emergency treatment" here when a lot of work has already been done. Please provide a map of the current structural stages along with the percentages for the project area. In addition, provide the structural stage percentages post the proposed treatments. Please provide how many acres of commercial timber harvesting will occur in areas classified as unsuitable for timber production. How many acres, if any, of commercial timber harvesting will be considered "steep slope logging"? There are many slash piles left over from past timber sales throughout the project area. Will this be taken into consideration in the hazardous fuels section of the EA? The project proposes very little road construction and reconstruction. This is somewhat hard to believe when it proposes commercial timber harvesting on up to 60% of the project area. I understand that the project area already has a pretty extensive road system but it seems that a lot of temporary roads will be needed. If so, can you provide an estimate of how many miles of temporary roads will be used? I support the commercial thinning if it can be accomplished with a regular timber sale contract. I don't support the use of a stewardship IRSC contract for thinning in this project area. I don't support the use of overstory removal here. Past use has left doghair thickets. If you feel you must do something in these stands, consider transitioning to uneven management and use individual tree selection instead. I understand the proposal of pine encroachment treatment, but this can be costly and would rather see funds spent on pre-commercial thinning of dense, young pine stands. I certainly support the proposed planting but also recognize that the Forest has a significant planting backlog. I also very much support the proposed road decommissioning. I strongly support the proposed prescribed burning, but the Forest has a history of proposing significant acreage of prescribed burning that never happens. Please be realistic in the proposals of rx burning so that we can know what to really expect. In summary, I question why so much commercial timber harvesting is being proposed in an area that has had quite a bit of recent timber harvesting activity. Studies have shown that areas disturbed by timber harvesting need around 15 years or more to recover from the increase of weed activity post-harvest. Continually returning to these areas to do more timber harvesting has a negative impact with weeds and wildlife. Quite honestly, this project area probably just needs a break. I guess if you actually accomplish all of the proposed actions, it will get a good break as there won't be much to come back to for a long time. Thanks for the opportunity to comment.