

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/24/2026 4:39:47 AM

First name: Samuel

Last name: HELGREN

Organization:

Title:

Comments: 1. I am extremely concerned that herbicide use of any kind is being considered in a watershed that produces almost 40% of SLCs drinking water. Especially glyphosate or "round-up" which should not be used in any wilderness area, especially when volunteer labor and organizations are so readily available for weed pulls, restoration activities etc.

2. The Emergency Action Determination by the Forest Service that allows a waiver of pre-decisional administrative review, should require some degree of objective, empirical evidence, studies of comparable circumstances rather than just subjective justification. While it has been a drought year, knee jerk reactions without empirical data are simply going to end in worse long term results.

3. Aesthetically the canyon should not be marred by large scale mechanical thinning that has not shown to be affective in extreme wildfire situations. Instead, a more detailed and thought out fire mitigation plan should be implemented, if it includes smaller thinning and invasive removal then I would hope it is done with the publics interest in mind.

I thank you in advance for your consideration.

-Sam