

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/5/2026 2:40:32 PM

First name: Katherine A

Last name: Bean

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Protecting communities and protecting wildlife are not mutually exclusive.

The proposed project does not make use of the latest science on sustainable forestry that benefits the health of the entire watershed.

Instead, it looks more like a gift to the timber industry, and a blatant money grab.

This proposal, if enacted, will irreparably harm Spotted Owl habitat reserves, Riparian reserves and succession stand reserves, under the guise of community risk reduction.

In addition, it will cause irreparable degradation of the community's watershed health and resiliency. And it will degrade the quality of life for all who live there,

((Even if they are temporarily profiting from cutting big timber and building new logging roads.))

Rather than this proposal, an ongoing program of reducing small diameter vegetation, limiting harvest to trees under 20" DBH, using the existing road system, and maintaining a 60-80% canopy cover, would reduce community wildfire risk, WITHOUT destroying the low level forest that endangered wildlife depends on for the foreseeable future, and adversely impacting the local watershed's functionality.

I strongly suggest that you abandon this proposal & seek a saner approach to risk management.

Perhaps the timber cutters could cut around the affected community itself to create an immediate defenseable zone instead, since it is already an impacted area of forest.