

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/1/2026 6:32:33 PM

First name: Carol

Last name: Beckman

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Thanks for working on this project. The exchange certainly makes sense, to bring an inholding into Forest Service management and to give the camp more certainty and easier decision-making.

Maintaining public access on the road through the camp parcel is important. The plan mentions Forest Service receiving a road easement, but also mentions the camp's plan to reroute the road. The road easement needs to account for any reroutes.

I also wondered about simply reducing the parcel to go to the camp. The analysis said this was proposed, but I didn't see any reason that it was rejected. The conservation easement does provide protection, but not necessarily public access.

I'd recommend that the conservation easement prohibit having a fence around the perimeter of the (currently) Federal parcel. It could make sense to have some parts of the parcel that are actively used for camp activities fenced, but not the entire parcel. It would also be good for the conservation easement to require that any fencing used allow for the easy passage of wildlife, unless there is some safety or security reason not to.

I'm glad to see that the plan includes removing the fencing on the parcel by Newlin Creek. It's important to follow up and ensure this is completed. The fence/gate on the private road, however, should be maintained. There's no reason to open the road to public motorized use.

The unauthorized spur off road 198 should be closed and restored.

Thanks for the opportunity to comment.