

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/23/2026 5:01:33 PM

First name: vanessa

Last name: Register

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Dear Sirs,

I will again object to the way this

EIS was done.

1 it should be a four seasons boots on the ground.

This area is listed as protected in

Your Jaguar protection, and the migratory birds had been ignored doing a study like this.

Relying on others desk work reports is not doing your

Due diligence.

2. Water discharge samples being collected by South 32 are

Not protecting our water supply. Fox guarding the chicken house.

3. New access road when one has already been established to

Save distance, more money for the mine.

And S32 new LT-TAR

Road will disturb more of "our national Forest." Adds

To impacts on wild life. Also

Object to

Where this new,

Unnecessary road intercepts hwy 82 dangerous, for

Ingress and egress.

4. Tailings on protected land when south 32 has private land they can store the tailings on. No need to pollute the national forest

For hundreds of acres, with a plastic liner that will

Degrade and allow toxins into the air,

Soil, and water.

5. Identifying impacts with not all the pieces like type of truck, show the Forest service specifically Mr. Dewberry's lack of understanding, lack

Of responsibility, and

At the very least lack of concern for the Forest he has been paid to manage and protect.

Sincerely and with great concern,

Vanessa Register