

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 2/25/2026 5:19:14 PM

First name: James

Last name: Galanes

Organization:

Title:

Comments: A couple of key comments that I think should be addressed. The scope of the project in my view only makes a strong case for a trail from SHS to the Nordic Center.

The scope of work suggests that the proposed hut would serve the community. However, in the PRA Master Plan of 2019, a plan vetted in the community, there was not mention of the need or demand for a permanent mountain hut. The only possible need expressed in the plan was for a remote warming hut/tent.

While a reasonable case can be made for a trail connection from Summit High School to the PRA. I do not see a need for two, essentially parallel trails, in an already constrained area. The FNC has done similar, as proposed winter trail closures during the summer. May people do use that trail still in the summer for hiking and dog walking. Trail development is already over dense on the PRA and we should be cautious with additional development. Given the surrounding vegetation and terrain, the experience on a trail wide enough for skiing would not be all that different than on a narrower foot trail.

A permanent hut disturbing two acres of the PRA is unnecessary. The scope of the hut development has not been defined except for a couple of sample huts. As mentioned, a strong use case has not been made for a permanent structure. And given the relatively small area size of the PRA, I believe the construction of a permanent structure is unwarranted.

If the US Forrest Service and the Town of Frisco desires and input is really desired for activites and development at the PRA I would suggest referencing the Master Plan as that plan was based on extensive community input over the process of the development of the plan.