

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/21/2026 4:22:39 AM

First name: Julius

Last name: Kukla

Organization:

Title:

Comments: There needs to be transparency in the CMP for Tensleep.

This includes, but is not limited, to defining clear criteria, timelines, an appeal process, and many other things. Any decision making for new routes, old routes, or other climbing infrastructure should be backed by science and specific to the site that is in questions. There shouldn't be blanket closures or generalized changes to large swabs of sites - changes need to be specific to each site.

Additionally, please clarify the definitions and processes to the cultural resource protections. The survey process should clearly be defined and explained to all parties that need the information so that everyone can follow the process properly.