

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/20/2026 6:32:22 PM

First name: Taylor

Last name: Spiegelberg

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Comment #1: In regard to Appendix B: Implementation Guide

Significant damage has occurred in Ten Sleep due to specific bad actors acting against the will of the climbing community. The potential also exists for those bad actors to become associated with the local climbing organization, the Bighorn Climber's Coalition who is partially responsible for reviewing route development application. While inputting an application process for Ten Sleep Canyon is a positive in the eyes of the public, additional safeguards need to be implemented and included in Appendix B to adequately prevent bad actors from developing routes that do not align with USFS or the public's ethics.

Person's who have committed route development offenses in the past should be required to have their applications reviewed not only by USFS and the BCC, but the public/climbing community as well. Route development is incredibly nuanced, and I do not support a full ban on a single individual, but certain individuals require additional checks and balances on their route development process.

Comment #2: In regard to StoryMap Accessible Data

Based on the Project Boundary outlined in the EA for the Tensleep Canyon Climbing Management Plan, hundreds of routes that are outside of the Project Boundary are included in the accessible dataset and Climbing Route Inventory map. This is not typical for an EA process, and all of this data should be removed from all public facing maps and accessible data. The project should only apply to the routes that lie within the Project Boundary outlined in Figure 1 of the Draft EA.