

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/14/2026 3:23:27 AM

First name: Sarah

Last name: Bailey

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Hello. My husband wrote these comments, and my opinion is the same: I am very excited about the steps the climbing management plan has taken to provide a quality experience for climbers of all skill levels, implement a new route development permitting system, improve parking and climbing access trails, protect cultural resources and traditional values, and conserve sensitive natural resources, cliffside ecosystems and wildlife habitat. I do however believe that some improvements can be made to this plan. Specifically, there must be clarification and transparency for new route permitting, including clear criteria, timelines, and appeal processes. I would also like to see language cementing the policy that climbing routes should only be closed or removed if quantitative scientific methods display measurable impacts. Proposed blanket closures (Leigh Creek RNA, bats, raptors) should be replaced with adaptive, science-based, and site-specific management. This has worked well with volunteers doing much of the work in places like Lumpy Ridge Colorado. Finally definitions and processes related to cultural resource protection need to be clarified. The plan needs to explain how Section 106 analyses and traditional cultural surveys will be implemented. Thank you for your time.