

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/5/2025 7:39:16 AM

First name: Tamar

Last name: Baber

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I object to this project for starters, because it is no more than a logging project. There is no scientific evidence whatsoever that advocates for cutting 18 inch dbm and bigger trees, all of which are fire-resistant. This is indisputable. You can't call a clear cut (matrix thinning) a "restoration project" when you destroy a forest, not protect it.

To state that there are no environmental impacts from this project is not only untrue, it is a lie on so many levels that I consider, at this point the Forest Service to be an enemy of the forest, not an advocate.

To state that you must harvest large diameter, fire-resistant trees because if you didn't, you couldn't afford to pay for the project, well then you must not begin a project that is not solely for the protection of the forest.

All matrix portions of this project must be removed from the project, to protect the forest itself from fire and from logging interests that can only think in terms of board feet lumber.