

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/20/2025 6:51:43 AM

First name: C.

Last name: Stimac

Organization:

Title:

Comments: You note: "I invite you to review this draft Environmental Assessment and submit comments sharing your concerns with or support of the project before we issue a draft Decision Notice based upon the analysis, pursuant to 36 CFR 2018, Subpart A and B."

So, regardless of comments, you will decide based on "the analysis" in other words, your analysis. So, why take comments at all? Shame on you.

You also note: "Stand Health and Vigor: Both plantation and natural fire regenerated stands in the project area are characterized by dense, light-limiting canopies that create intense competition for sunlight, water, and nutrients. Consequently, these stands consist of closely spaced, small diameter trees with slow growth. Mortality is high and attributable to tree stress and vulnerability to blowdown during wind and ice storms."

I have lived in this beautiful state for a long time. I've heard every argument for cutting stands, in the end it's always been for profit of the few over the health of the forests. I remember being told by a logger that they HAD to cut down naturally occurring trees so as to let the light in. And then they planted a plantation. They got away with it.

And so you're going to cut down trees because they're too small and vulnerable and replant with new trees, which will be small and vulnerable to blowdown during wind and ice??

The public sees this for what it is. Another land grab for profit. But it doesn't matter what I've seen, heard, and witnessed because you're going to go by your "analysis" that people like me paid for. And we wonder why fires and heat events are more intense - short sighted business men can't learn from their mistakes. Or they learn and they don't give a damn. Shame on you.