

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/9/2025 5:51:21 PM

First name: Paula

Last name: Shafransky

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Despite being called "restoration," I believe this project would extract 19+ million board feet of timber - including from stands that were never clearcut and exhibit "great diversity of species, age and habitat structure" according to the US Forest Service's own documents.

The Forest Service openly admits this will "likely adversely affect" Northern Spotted Owl, Marbled Murrelet, and Bull Trout critical habitat. I believe this will certainly jeopardize other species as well.

The scale of this project (2,000 acres, 11+ miles of roads, multiple threatened species) requires a full Environmental Impact Statement, not just an EA. The Forest Service considered but unfortunately rejected analyzing alternatives that would protect fire-regenerated stands. I believe a full EIS is needed.

In addition, this proposal affects the Dungeness municipal watershed and a river segment already listed as impaired for temperature. Sediment from roads and loss of riparian vegetation will threaten water quality.

Logging naturally diverse, fire-regenerated stands (80-164 years old) contradicts requirements to maintain forest diversity and viable wildlife populations. Once these trees are gone, forest diversity and viable wildlife populations will also be gone.

How can the Forest Service call this a "restoration" while admitting it will "degrade 1,988 acres of habitat" and "remove 25 acres of dispersal habitat"? This is NOT forest management. This is unnecessary forest destruction and needs to be stopped.

Thank you for your consideration in this important matter.