

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/12/2025 4:56:12 AM

First name: Lauren

Last name: Anon

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The Forest Service must analyze and adopt an alternative that lets the Mount Timpanogos Wilderness be wild by allowing the metal remnants of the Quonset hut to fade with time or by using wilderness-compatible means to remove it. This is because the Forest Service's first responsibility is to protect the wild character of the Mount Timpanogos Wilderness. I quote the agency's wilderness policy in pointing out that the structure is not needed for visitor use: visitors must be prepared on their own to face "inherent risks of adverse weather conditions, isolation, physical hazards, and lack of rapid communications, and that search and rescue may not be as rapid as expected in an urban setting." For such a massive undertaking, an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is needed, especially because the Forest Service proposes a project in Wilderness involving helicopter flights (number not detailed in EA), motorized equipment, jackhammers, cement mixers, and other motorized tools. I believe only the "no action" alternative would honor the Mount Timpanogos Wilderness.