

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 7/11/2025 1:56:05 PM

First name: Jerry

Last name: Hughes

Organization:

Title:

Comments: To Whom It May Concern,

I feel that in all of this discussion, we've lost sight of our definition of a "wilderness." If we reconstruct ANY building, whether it be for shelter, storage, or convenience, we've degraded the area for which its original intent was established. How can we call this a wilderness area when there are signs of man there, reminding you that you aren't where you thought you were? Will that structure encourage many more people to use that land "on mass", potentially causing damage to the local environment due to direct, local overuse? Why not just remove that threat and follow our definition of what a true wilderness is supposed to be? Thank you