

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/21/2025 3:18:08 AM

First name: Patty

Last name: Leasure

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Based on the information presented in the DEIS, I do not support any of the alternatives proposed for the expansion at Grand Targhee Resort. The costs, risks and negative consequences are unacceptable and simply too great to the wildlife, the water resources and the Teton Valley, Idaho and Wyoming communities.

Grand Targhee Resort is a cherished gem and spectacular eastern vista to our community. My family and I have skied and hiked there for many years. Everyone wants to see it thrive. However, that success should not come with the privatization of public lands that are widely used and accessed for free by so many for backcountry skiing, hiking and mountain biking. The natural settings and vistas of Teton Canyon, available for free to all, should not be compromised by unnecessary expansion of a commercial enterprise. It is reasonable to assert that Grand Targhee is already big enough and offers plenty of skiable acres to enjoy and base area to further develop. Do they really even need more?

Many have suggested that supporting a 'Modified Alternative 3' is an acceptable path forward. While that would keep Grand Targhee within its current boundaries, there are still many significant concerns with the proposed upgrades, specifically related to water use and viewshed. These areas need further study before any alternative is approved.

But, hanging like a dark cloud over the Tetons, is the unaddressed negative impact and costs to residents of Teton Valley, Idaho. Increased traffic, greater demands on community services and infrastructure, and increased demand for affordable housing--cost projections for some of these taxpayer supported realms far exceed any possible tax revenues that could be collected by current Idaho law. This impact needs to be addressed before any alternative is approved.

Thank you for consideration of these comments.