

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/19/2025 4:02:55 PM

First name: Michael

Last name: Pfeil

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am writing to express my opinions on. The Grand Targhee expansion. Many issues come to mind, including the environmental impact, economic impact, lack of need and water quality. I reject a full expansion onto USFS land and would propose an alternative solution.

Generally, I support a modified version of Alternative 3 that limits the growth of Grand Targhee to within its current SUP boundary. I ask that CTNF consider further analysis of safety considerations for avalanche control on South Bowl that do not require SUP boundary expansion or lift access expansion. There are safety issues currently occurring with backcountry users and ski patrol. Analysis of a modification of this alternative should look at the impacts of increased avalanche control on bighorn sheep and other wildlife and weigh those impacts against safety needs.

I propose the modified version of Alternative 3 includes that all proposed on-mountain restaurants be limited to the base area on private land, not on public land. A restaurant at the top of Targhee will require a new well and an elaborate wastewater treatment line, and the benefits do not outweigh the costs to the scenic viewshed and threats to water quality.

A modified Alternative 3 strikes an appropriate balance between our local planning regulations, while still creating the amenities needed to provide for the kind of visitor experience GTR.

Thank you.