

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/18/2025 6:46:09 PM

First name: Daphne

Last name: Stoner

Organization:

Title:

Comments: As many have already noted the infrastructure and community of Teton County, Idaho is already struggling with current population growth directly and indirectly associated with Grand Targhee Resort. Until those limitations are addressed in a phased manner the only course of action for the resort is Alternative No. 1. No expansion and no improvements.

Unfortunately, "no growth" alternatives can be met with economic decline of an area. Only after infrastructure and community needs in Teton County, Idaho have been addressed, a phased implementation of Alternative 3 with no boundary expansion but with the improvement and maintenance of existing infrastructure and development of new on-site amenities would be acceptable.

That the rescue of people who crossed boundaries was considered as a reason to expand the boundaries is not tenable because there will always be people who cross boundaries no matter where the boundaries may lie.

All-in-all the proposed full development and boundary expansion is just another example of wealthy people extracting the value from public lands to the detriment to the population as a whole. While the wealth extraction is not as damaging as mining, the negative impact on wildlife and groundwater would still occur. The wealth that is extracted will primarily benefit Wyoming and not Idaho which would bear the brunt of improving the infrastructure needed to support the expanded resort.