

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 6/16/2025 6:37:48 PM

First name: Lindsey

Last name: Love

Organization:

Title:

Comments: While I support SOME growth of businesses, population centers, and recreation, I unfortunately cannot support any proposed GTR expansion without mechanisms that directly contribute to Teton County, IDAHO to support the additional required infrastructure. I also cannot support any growth that does not provide mitigation for environmental impacts INCLUDING CLIMATE CHANGE.

The benefit of having access to GTR via Teton Valley does not compensate for the impacts to the environment and especially impacts to our infrastructure.

IF there were a mechanism in place to provide adequate monetary compensation for the economic impacts, AND IF the phasing were spaced out over 15-20 years, I could be in support of Alternate #3.

The EIS needs to be amended significantly to be adequate. I do not support GTR in cutting ANY corners of permitting.

Mitigation for all environmental impacts needs to be part of this plan.

In general, I support some growth over time, for GTR, but it needs to be regenerative for ecosystems & community.

Thank you for considering my perspective.