

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 5/9/2025 9:43:59 PM

First name: Susan

Last name: Jackson

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Each option presented in the draft EIS anticipates increases in traffic, parking, and employment at the resort - with resulting needs for emergency service response, public safety, public health services, family services, childcare, housing, and schools. And the Draft EIS recognizes that these impacts will be the greatest upon Teton County, Idaho.

The Draft EIS also recognizes that many of the strongest socioeconomic relationships with the resort are with Teton County, Idaho. Yet the increased tax revenue is enjoyed by Teton County, Wyoming. As compared to Teton County, WY, Teton County, ID has a lower population, lower average incomes, higher percentage of poverty, and a smaller labor force. Teton County, WY is already exporting its worker housing shortage over the Pass - and Teton County, Idaho is moving faster than our neighbor to the East in taking steps to remedy the housing shortage.

Teton County, ID is currently under-served in road maintenance (routine, deferred, and new investment), road plowing, staffing of our public safety and emergency response teams, schools, public and social services, and affordable housing.

Increasing the demand for these services upon Teton County, ID without resolving the issue of providing sufficient funding for Teton County, ID to effectively manage these increased demands is not a tenable situation. Grand Targhee Resort and Teton County, WY need to resolve this inequity before any portion of the project is approved.

Further, with regard to the South Bowl expansion - this part of the project introduces visible ski infrastructure into Teton Canyon, an area that is now mostly untouched by the presence of GTR. Teton Canyon is heavily used for camping, hiking, back country skiing, snowmobiling and other outdoor activities. Much of this use is by residents of Teton Valley, ID and visitors who are coming for reasons unrelated to GTR. More than the other new developments presented, this proposed expansion would dramatically change the Teton Canyon area. Further, retaining the current state of Teton Canyon would be a direct benefit to Teton Valley, ID - the community that otherwise carries the impact of GTR in many ways, while the benefits flow to Teton County, WY and the resort owner. For these reasons, the South Bowl expansion should therefore not be permitted.