

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/20/2025 2:48:20 PM

First name: beverly

Last name: boynton

Organization:

Title:

Comments: NO to Alternatives 2, 4, 5. Ideally YES to Alternative 1, or Alternative 3 if you must.

Wildlife and its habitat, especially wintering range: the impact to all the iconic species, along with all the others in the ecological web will clearly be negatively impacted in a major way. Research data on the effect of development for wildlife movements and availability/use is copious and clear. Over the decades wildlife in Idaho has declined due to poaching and development in winter range. This proposed expansion could be the death knell for the wildlife still on the west side of the Teton Range.

Wilderness values from Targhee all the way into Grand Teton National Park: How is it possible to even contemplate the effects from Targhee expansion on the Jedediah Smith Wilderness and on one of the most beloved and significant national parks, GTNP? Teton Canyon is a popular place for hikers and skiers, deservedly so for the amazing terrain. Geology has formed the area in a way unique from other canyons and tundra in the Teton Range. Picture coming over Alaska Divide, and having your breath taken away, not from the natural majesty of the terrain, but from the size of a commercial development, with its, scars and noise, and lack of an amazing night sky.

Who benefits from this destructive proposal? Not the skiers who enjoy the uniqueness of Grand Targhee Ski Resort. Not the folk in Teton Valley, Idaho, many who fled the Jackson Hole turbulence for "the Quiet Side".

So much to lose, for such limited gain for so few.

NO to Alternative 2. Ideally YES to Alternative 1, or Alternative 3 if you must.