

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/17/2025 8:40:44 PM

First name: Julie

Last name: Jeffrey

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Hello,

Here are my comments about the NW Forest Plan Amendments DEIS.

I fully endorse the Coast Range Association's (CRA)

comments on the DEIS. Please fully consider the CRA's comments.

These include:

I strenuously oppose future commercial timber harvest as a management practice in LSRs. The harvest of naturally regenerated stands in LSRs within the Siuslaw National Forest, no matter what age, will engender vigorous public opposition. Option B's proposal to harvest stands in LSRs up to 119 years of age is a dead-in-the-water idea and wrong forest management.

Recognize and incorporate wet and rainforest life zones, coupled with Plant Association Zones and Mean Annual Increment metrics into a revised set of mapped forest management zones.

Consult relevant tribes for appropriate fire use in wet and rainforest life zones.

We are now at "code red" on planet Earth. Humanity is unequivocally facing a climate emergency. I applaud the inclusion of climate issues in the DEIS. However, the DEIS's analysis is dated and not current to real world conditions and the scale of destructive events.

The DEIS must be seriously revised for wet and rainforest life zones and incorporate wildfire refugia science for the goal of wildfire resistant forests.

DEIS must base forest thinning on wildfire resistance and the retention and build-up of sequestered carbon for the Siuslaw National Forest's wet and rainforest life zones.

Commercial forest thinning must be replaced by Forest Service budget funded thinning.

Forest thinning for all moist, wet and rainforest forests must maintain at least 75% canopy cover post-thinning. 75% or more forest canopy is necessary for wildfire resistance in wildfire refugia management strategies.

Thank you for your support in helping to protect our vulnerable forests and precious ecosystems.

Sincerely,

Julie A Jeffrey