

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/17/2025 3:41:09 PM

First name: Ricki

Last name: Peterson

Organization:

Title:

Comments: As a member of Indivisible Waves and its Climate Committee, I am opposed to Amendment 64745. It appears to me that much of the language is vague and confusing. It does clearly indicate that one purpose is for economic growth/pursuit. Our old growth forests are vibrant ecosystems. They capture and hold dangerous carbon dioxide, preventing it from entering our upper atmosphere. They provide treasured wildlife experiences for all populations of our country. They are not expendable for profit. This Amendment suggests that protection and proper management, along with input from Native American Tribes, Alaskan natives, and wildlife protection groups will be considered in any decisions relevant to logging. However, the EPA, along with staff has been gutted and the focus of this administration is to reap whatever economic rewards it can from the land, without concern for long-range consequences. This amendment seems to best protect the interests of the logging and lumber companies and not the interests of the forest. I, therefore, strongly object to it's passage.