

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/13/2025 8:23:55 PM

First name: Neal

Last name: Hadley

Organization:

Title:

Comments: In the NWFP Amendment, I oppose the removal of all protections for forest stands with ages between 80 and 120 years. Stands must be considered in the context of their function within a landscape scale ecosystem. Many stands are important for the future replacement of old-growth habitat, which we've logged to an unacceptable degree at most elevations and aspects. Even more of these stands are critical to establishing and maintaining connectivity of habitat for identified endangered species, not to mention less studied invertebrate species which are often dependent on an undisturbed forest floor habitat. Protection should remain in place pending a rigorous, scientific assessment of a stand's potential to be logged. The amount of cut on a forest must be determined not by a quota based on economic desire, but by a balance of ecosystem services (clean water and air, habitat preservation, carbon sequestration, etc.) and sustainable harvest.