Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/8/2025 6:41:19 PM

First name: Dawn Last name: Smith Organization:

Title:

Comments: As an avid outdoor person and birder, I have hiked and birded in most of the forests comprising the Northwest Forest Plan area. I am concerned that none of the 3 proposed alternatives include species specific plans for for ESA listed species. In particular, I believe that logging 80+ year old trees is both unnecessary and increases impacts not just to regional climate but to the forest itself, making the habitat less viable for the ESA listed species who depend on this habitat for their survival. Further loss of listed species at a time when so many species are disappearing is simply not acceptable as it will likely result in cascading losses and unpredictable damage to the entire ecosystem.

I believe that logging of moist Late Successional Reserves need to be preserved both for the habitat that it provides for multiple species of wildlife, and for their positive impact on the region's climate in a time when so many activities are already negatively impacting it.

I believe it is important to choose Alternative A which would maintain the present Forest Plan with the protections for both habitat and wildlife it takes into account