

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/6/2025 9:22:07 PM

First name: Catherine

Last name: Levin

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I support your Plan C because of its more measured approach to necessary forest management. Plans B and D are okay but inferior; Plan A (no action) is not a reasonable option. So much has changed since 1994 and forest management need to address current conditions.

I am a devoted outdoor lover, but a lay person, so it was challenging to read through and understand the various proposals. I live in California now, so I don't know if my comments even matter in your deliberations, but I have lived in Oregon, and traveled to the wild places in Oregon and Washington, so I care a lot about what happens up there!

In general, I want to see forest lands preserved as natural landscape, habitat for wildlife, and low-impact human recreation. Wildfire mitigation is important, but it should be moderate in scope, focused on WUI areas only. Clear out one area, and lightning strikes another. Its a non-winnable game of Whack-a-mole. The MAIN thing, whichever Plan you finally settle on, is to fiercely oppose any radical decimation of the land for mining and timber. I worry that the Trump Administration and the new Secretary of the Interior are salivating to do just that. Please stand strong!

Thank you for your stewardship,
Catherine Levin