

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/5/2025 6:13:51 PM

First name: Jon Paul

Last name: Anderson

Organization:

Title:

Comments: To whom it may concern,

I am objecting to the DEIS based on the information provided below.

The NFP Amendment Alt. B has the intention to expand forest management but fails to address multiple factors that are important to increase management of the forest and have long lasting impacts to small local communities within them that rely heavily on timber output.

The first is Survey and Manage. The plan intends to manage 1.3 million acres of mature and old growth forest on Matrix land identically to LSR. These standards are now obsolete and adhering to those standards would make many of these acres off limits to harvest. Survey and manage needs to be removed.

Under the current NWFP, Matrix land was never managed as intended for Timber Production. Harvest levels were never reached as written and now they expect to increase harvest levels by taking the Matrix land as identified above and manage it like LSR. This will equate to less area available for management. Areas that are left primarily for timber production should be given management directions that solely focuses on just that.

The amendment also fails to address the excessive buffers on streams. Buffers should only be one potential tree height and not two.

Finally, salvage logging should not be restricted on Matrix and LSR ground. Salvage logging should be allowed where appropriate.

Sincerely,

Jon Paul Anderson