

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 2/24/2025 4:22:36 AM

First name: Paul

Last name: OBrien

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I believe Alternative 1 is a far superior option.

1. Alternative 1 allows ample opportunity for riders with limited physical ability to enjoy outdoor experiences in the National Forest. It guides them away from trails, such as Phillips Canyon or the Arrow Trail, where they would encounter challenging segments.

2. Alternative 1 excludes trails, such as Phillips Canyon, Phillips Ridge, and Arrow Trails, that pass through thick vegetation and sharp curves that limit visibility. Users of these trails would be especially vulnerable to fast, uphill traffic.

3. Alternative 1 does not require the Forest Service to check permits defining the class of e-bike, nor to adapt to unknown future changes in e-bike technology. I hike frequently in the Phillips Canyon area and have never seen anyone monitoring the trails. Does the Forest Service intend to devote additional resources to this? I doubt it.

Overall, Alternative 1 is best. It meets the goals of allowing e-bikes in the National Forest at the least risk, and will provide experience to inform future decisions.