Data Submitted (UTC 11): 2/8/2025 2:10:33 AM

First name: Abigail Last name: Vandervoort

Organization:

Title:

Comments: February 7, 2025

Anthony Botello

Flathead Forest Supervisor

650 Wolfpack Way Kalispell, MT 59901

Please accept these comments on your Comprehensive River Management Plan (CRMP) Proposed Action (PA) into the public record.

## Proposals:

\*There is currently no space in the CRMP designated for the input of tribal nations who historically lived on and used this land. I would like to see greater effort made to engage with the CSKT and Blackfoot nations for monitoring of their cultural resources.

oThe National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) affirms America's commitment to "preserve important historic, cultural, and natural aspects of our national heritage."

?"The Confederated Tribes of the Flathead Reservation - Salish, Pend d'Oreille and Kootenai -- claim the Flathead River headwaters as part of their aboriginal territories, and these claims have been upheld by U.S. law through the Indian Claims Commission." (Thompson, 2015) - Flathead Headwaters Ethnographic Resources Review and Assessment

?The Blackfeet have utilized this area for many generations pre 1800s, according to Thompson's research.

\*There needs to be transparency in the data that has already been collected and the plans for more collection. oThe plan needs data citations and references.

oFuture data collection plans need to include dates and locations to provide context.

\*Wildlife; There should be more in this management plan about monitoring for the habitat quality of all animals on or in consideration for the endangered species act.

oFor example in the case of Grizzly Bear, in instances of habituation the area should be closed for camping. If there are impacts, including noise and trammeling, that are impacting vital habitat then the area should be closed, as per Limits of Acceptable Change.

oBull Trout, in particular, need active monitoring and actionable plans of protection.

\*Due to degradation of the ORVs, livery outfitting (also known as "drops") should be limited.

oTo quote the CRMP; "The number of outfitter-guide and livery/shuttle service permits ensures
access within the river corridor [...] without compromising desired conditions for this section." And; "Numbers and
types of outfitters and service permits are managed to maintain the wilderness character including solitude."

\*Due to the current degradation of the ORVs, permitted outfitting trips need to be reduced on the South Fork and
the Middle Fork drainages.

\*In the interim, management must be willing to close areas for camping due to overuse along the river.

oThis management plan takes note that the only sections that have Outstanding Remarkable Value in the Botany category are the headwaters and least traveled areas, meaning that the amount of use correlates with the loss of this ORV.

?To quote the proposed plan;" The Three Forks corridor support many complex and diverse native plant communities [...]. It also contains high-quality habitat for plant species of conservation concern and plants for traditional collection by tribes."

\*This lends itself to the first point, that indigenous voices are instrumental in the protection of their resources. ?Range management, specifically in the South Fork (MU1) and Middle Fork (Wild), needs to be brought into target guidelines outlined in the the BMWC Rec Management Direction.

\*With the lack of action in this "action plan" the Forest Service must be willing to close access to the river while they create implementable measures when thresholds are exceeded, if no plan is made in advance.

Here are a few points that I like in the CRMP:

- 1. Mandatory, free float permit for private parties for data acquisition.
- 2. Prohibiting motor vehicle camping or parking on gravel bars in areas that can be accessed by them.
- 3. Requiring metal fire pans or fire blankets for campfires.
- 4. Noise levels that cannot exceed 60 decibels at 50 feet.