

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/15/2025 2:03:25 PM

First name: Nancy

Last name: Gaudreau

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I think that Alternative D is the best choice because it offers many of the benefits of this project while at the same time has a lighter hand, minimizing negative impacts. For example, Compartment 110 is targeted for commercial thinning under Alternatives B & C. This area is at and just below elevation 2500 ft, where the FS recommends harvest treatment be limited. In addition, this area is located after traveling 5 miles of dirt road, including 3 miles town road and 2 miles of forest service road #57, which includes steep grades. It will be difficult to avoid damage from heavy truck traffic now that warmer winters infrequently freeze the ground hard. Also, Compartment 110 abuts the Cape RNA and the noise and disruption of logging will impact the fauna that live there. Managing for resilience & a healthy ecosystem seems like a better choice for Compartment 110 than 'commercial thinning', even if, as you claim, it is "not suitable" for managing as late successional forest. Thank for for your consideration.