

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/18/2024 6:13:44 PM

First name: Graham

Last name: Wright

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I have reviewed the four proposed alternatives to the 1994 Northwest Forest Plan and have the following comments:

- 1 - Alternative A is not a viable option. Action must be taken to take into consideration the affects of climate change.
- 2- I applaud the Forest Service for the inclusion of indigenous peoples in the planning process
- 3 - I applaud the decision that all alternatives restrict the harvesting of any tree older than 120 years. These trees must be sacrosanct.
- 4 - Alternative B (preferred) is acceptable, however I like Alternative D's flexibility in giving the Forest Supervisor more flexibility in site specific projects. Also I like Alternative D's greater emphasis on accelerated fuels reduction.
- 5 - Alternative C is not acceptable as it does not provide adequate community protection through fuels reduction.
- 6 - Timber harvests must continue on lands that have been previously harvested for community economic stability. Harvests in mature stands must be selective harvests and no clearcutting. Mature and old growth stands provide greater economic activity through tourism and recreation than they do through harvesting, and must be preserved to the greatest extent possible.

In short - I support the implementation of Alternative B - Preferred.