

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/18/2024 4:28:57 PM

First name: Christopher

Last name: Rice

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The proposed changes and plans to further log the Pacific Northwest and particularly effect changes and to definitions of "old growth" from 80 years to 120 is completely in fact unacceptable!

It was originally stated that 10%, 4% and now even 1% of old growth redwoods remains - in fact the numbers of old growth are much less than that.

I am a naturalist, outdoor enthusiast, and filmmaker that regularly visits the PNW 2 to 3 times a year. I have observed upon my visits signs stating that in fact no old growth redwoods are older than 600 to 800 years old, we are speaking about the Redwood species that lives up to 2500 years - that means that in fact more than 99% of old growth redwoods were logged before & or up until the 1995 legislative changes to logging and protections for the spotted owl.

The so-called inspiration of this bill is to increase economic viability and rural communities is both a misnomer and pandering to the inclusion of communities and residence who have long since abandoned these fiscal opportunities for better or more environmental options.

Logging of younger trees are thinning of overly dense younger Force is acceptable, any thought of approaching old growth Force no matter the species is ignorant, neglectful & belligerent of the facts.

I am for any legislative capacities to please leave believe any old growth species along with the Pacific Northwest corridor alone!