

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/28/2024 11:01:26 AM

First name: Gayle

Last name: Janzen

Organization:

Title:

Comments: As usual, instead of taking a measured response to a problem, the US govt. always seems to take a knee jerk reaction approach, which can cause many unanticipated problems instead of an actual solution to the original problem. Instead of suppressing natural fires for decades, now the FS wants to go to the other extreme with too many prescribed burns in our forests. Please calm down! Why not let nature take its course with its own way to keep forests healthy with lightning strikes.

Therefore, I support Alternative C, the Wilderness Exclusion Alternative. The Forest Service should exclude ALL designated and recommended Wildernesses from its proposed cutting and burning plans for the Sequoia and Sierra National Forests in order to comply with the Wilderness Act. And also add the Moses Mountain Recommended Wilderness to Alternative C.

I've been reading horror stories about the prescribed burns being done in New Mexico with many getting way out of hand, burning far more than was originally intended. When the landscape is studied to see how it fared, the burned areas were not coming back like they normally would with a lightning strike fire. I urge you to take fewer actions and study the situation before you start supposedly making our forests wildfire proof.

Here's an article about what the FS has been doing in NM and it's an utter disaster.

https://www.santafenewmexican.com/opinion/my_view/a-full-environmental-study-needed-for-resiliency-project/article_9de2d7c2-6674-11ef-97ef-cbbd4632c192.html