

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/28/2024 3:32:17 AM

First name: Cheryl

Last name: Mitchell

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am an attorney in Washington State and I am writing regarding the Forest Service's draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for the so-called "Sequoia and Sierra National Forests Prescribed Fire Project."

I am familiar with the arguments that if we cut down all trees then they won't burn and cause damage. This argument makes no sense and is unsupported by scientific evidence.

I support Alternative C, the Wilderness Exclusion Alternative. The Forest Service should exclude all of the designated and recommended Wildernesses from its proposed cutting and burning plans for the Sequoia and Sierra National Forests in order to comply with the Wilderness Act.

I want the Forest Service to add the Moses Mountain Recommended Wilderness to Alternative C.

The agency should follow the science and use methods that will reduce the incidence of fire without destroying the wilderness areas. I don't believe that the government can prevent natural, lightning-caused fires in the Sequoia and Sierra National Forests except by destroying the wilderness areas. The idea of killing the forests to save them is nonsensical.