Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/24/2024 4:01:57 PM First name: Andrea Last name: Bertram Organization:

Title:

Comments: The current Forest Service proposal represents a huge human-driven manipulation of Wilderness that is inconsistent with the letter and spirit of the 1964 Wilderness Act. In fact, the Forest Service is even proposing to ignite some fires in Wilderness using helicopters and drones. It is far better to allow natural, lightning-caused fire to play its role, rather than to invade Wilderness to cut down "undesirable" trees and burn forests based on human wishes and desires.

Human driven manipulation does not take into factors that unknown. The agency should instead allow natural, lightning-caused fire to play its ecological role in designated and recommended Wilderness on the Sequoia and Sierra National Forests. (i.e. The historic old tree stands, the carbon impact on the environment of the burning of these areas. The migratory patterns of species both inside the impacted area and outside of it that use the targeted areas.)

Instead I support Alternative C, the Wilderness Exclusion Alternative. The Forest Service should exclude all designated and recommended Wildernesses from its proposed cutting and burning plans for the Sequoia and Sierra National Forests in order to comply with the Wilderness Act.