

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/23/2024 8:14:03 PM

First name: Wanda

Last name: Ballard

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Here I am once again, creating yet another comment, the USFS is a textbook classroom for the discipline of people management, when its the forest you claim to manage.

Presently the claim of inconsistency between the 1990 forest plan and the 2012 Planning Rule is what drives the need for the revision of the forest plan. The layman is left to just guess what consistencies cant be handled with amendments as amendments suited the needs in the past. Gross waste of resources, which seem to be of no concern.

Social and economic benefits need to be addressed when management projects are put in motion..roads and resources need to be met and are not contrary to forest management,,in fact one is integral to the other.

Everyone desires a healthy productive forest system..as is very apparent the past years of managing people in place of the forest, leaves a landscape full of fuel. Road closures of the past and present restrict access eliminating a quick early response to fire. The demon wildfire season yearly destroys millions and millions acres of not only the timber,,but grasing, wildlife, watersheds, and recreation.

Maybe there is a need for change, but debatable whether its the plan or the management.

wandaballard