

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/23/2024 5:39:33 PM

First name: Randy

Last name: Norton

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Comment to USFS identified Need for Change:

#1Need for change and assessment fail to specifically address how the 1990 forest plan is inconsistent with the 2012 planning rule.

#2If the current forest plans are inconsistent with the 2012 planning rule, how is that a revision of the plan is warranted, and not a comprehensive new plan and why is the agency utilizing a cross walk of the 2018 effort?

#3The public has not been allowed into the process to create durable, implementable, integrated land management plans.

The public has consistently requested since 2018's withdrawal of the failed attempt to revise the forest plans to be engaged at the BIC and participate as co-equals in the planning process and has been denied access all along, while special interest groups have been allowed membership at the detriment of those residents.

#4What defines sustainability. Does the Forest Service plan to sustain the current depressed economic conditions that have plagued the region for the last nearly 40 years, or does the agency plan to develop management plans to improve those conditions through more extractive/working lands models of management?

#5Please define what a "changing climate" is.

#6Add....through a well-maintained roads infrastructure that allows for managed working lands to meet item 4.

#7Add....through a well-maintained roads infrastructure that allows for managed working lands to meet item 4.

Designate Routes = Closed Forest