

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/11/2024 4:00:00 AM

First name: Austin

Last name: L.

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Please reconsider the implementation of Alternate action 2. It's incredibly harmful and contains a multitude of loopholes and just plain incorrect information regarding old growth. The usage of timber harvest doesn't promote growth, health, or prevent wildfires; all it does is put money in lumber corporations pockets. Old growth forests are ALREADY resistant to wildfires and floods, logging leads to mass loss of important habitat and ecological stability. Alternate action 3 would do a much better job of protecting the old growth forests, and with the forests you are also protecting ecological health and fighting against climate change. Alternate action 3 should be the preferred method, not action 2.